UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,400	02/02/2007	Zoser B. Salama	7014-110	8658	
46002 JOYCE VON N	7590 12/24/200 NATZMER	EXAMINER			
PEQUIGNOT +	PEQUIGNOT + MYERS LLC			NAZARIO GONZALEZ, PORFIRIO	
Suite 1901	200 Madison Avenue Suite 1901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY	New York, NY 10016				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/24/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/595,400	SALAMA, ZOSER B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PORFIRIO NAZARIO GONZALEZ	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MADE IN THE MADE	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply unication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>14 August 2008</i> .					
· <u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition t	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-9 and 11-17</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2, 6-9 and 11-17</u> is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is required if the drawing(s) by the Examiner. Note the attached O	-				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority of</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of</li></ul>	documents have been received. documents have been received in App of the priority documents have been rec nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P'</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	TO-948) Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) /lail Date rmal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 1621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/14/2008 traversing the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph are found persuasive and therefore said rejection is withdrawn by the Examiner.

However, Applicant's arguments traversing the rejection of claims 2, 6-9, 15 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants make the observation that there is no indication in the specification that the shown compound in claim 2 should be neutral. Further, Applicants argued that while the formula of claim 2 is neutral, one skilled in the art would expect a charge at the positions X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> when both or either one is magnesium or calcium. The Examiner does not disagrees with Applicants assertion that when positions X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> are both or either one is magnesium or calcium, the formula of claim 1 is no longer a neutral compound but rather a cationic organometallic complex. The issue here is that the formula presented does not provide for that fact. The formula shown only embraces neutral compounds when X<sub>1</sub> and/or X<sub>2</sub> are Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Li<sup>+</sup>, alkyl or aryl residues. There is no language and/or graphical representation in the claim that would account for a cationic complex. The Examiner can only interpret the claim, based on the general formula shown, that Applicants intended to claim a neutral platinum compound that embraces the formula depicted in claim 2. Note that if applicants intended to claim the cationic platinum complex as well as the neutral complex then the corresponding language and/or graphical representation of the total charge of the complex should be part of the general formula. The formula as shown runs contrary to standard nomenclature in the art when depicting cationic metal complexes. Thus, the claims are not clear and the rejection of the claims is sustained.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,400 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

Applicants' argument for traversing the rejection of claims 11-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph with respect to the use of treatment of cancer tumors as a model for the treatment of other diseases which are expressed by cell proliferation is well taken and as such, the rejection with respect to treatment is withdrawn by the Examiner.

However, Applicants' argument traversing the rejection of the claims 11-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph with respect to the use of the compound of claim 2 for prophylaxis has been considered but is not persuasive. Applicants' argued that a person skilled in the art (here it's a doctor or a medical scientist) would know how to administer the medication as a preventive treatment (prophylaxis) of cell proliferation (in this case cancer) based on the medical history of the patient and his/her family. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Basler and Groettrup, Drug Aging, Vol 24, no. 3, pp. 197-221 (2007) have concluded that in the case of prostate cancer, especially metastatic prostate cancer, the development of new breakthrough treatment options in tumour vaccination have not been achieved. Furthermore, most of the current strategies are limited to a palliative role. None of the current treatments prevents (prophylaxis) metastatic prostate cancer. Shore et al., Aliment Pharmacol Ther, Vol. 18, pp. 1049-1069 (2003) notes that the only treatment that cures pancreatic cancer is surgery. Chemotherapies have only shown to prolong survival of patients having pancreatic cancer. None of the chemotherapies prevents pancreatic cancer. Boulikas et al., Oncology Reports, Vol. 10, pp 1663-1682 (2003), reviews the last 20 years of platinum drugs for the use in cancer treatment. The review article only mentions the use of these platinum compounds as treatment of cancer and not as a preventive drug. It is clear that at the present time none of the platinum drugs available are use in the prevention (or prophylaxis) of cancer. Further, all of the platinum complexes used as anti-cancer drugs are not view as part of the strategies currently in development in the area of tumor vaccination. One skilled in the art will logically conclude that

Art Unit: 1621

platinum drugs are well suited for treatment of cancer but that there is no evidence that such drugs can be use for the prevention of cancer. Therefore, one skilled in the art lacking the knowledge of how to prevent cancer with the platinum drugs would not be able, without undue experimentation to practice the claimed invention. Thus, the rejection of claims 11-14 and new claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph with respect to the use of the compound of claim 2 for prophylaxis is sustained. Note that "inhibiting" is synonymous with prevention and thus is the same as prophylaxis.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PORFIRIO NAZARIO GONZALEZ whose telephone number is (571)272-0641. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 A.M.-6:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel M. Sullivan can be reached on 571-272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,400 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

/PORFIRIO NAZARIO GONZALEZ/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621

PNG

December 21, 2008